SUPREME COURT GOUNTY OF RENSSELAER

EDWARD ROMAN.

Plaintiff,

against

RICHARD RODGERS, LORENZ HART, JACK MAHONEY, doing business under the assumed business name of "MAHONEY AND ASSOCIATES", METRO-GOLDWYN-MAYER CORP., and ROBBINS MUSIC CORP.,

Defendants.

The plaintiff by E. STEWART JONES, his attorney, for his complaint herein alleges:

- I. Upon information and belief, that the defendant, Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer, Corp., is a corporation organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of New York and is and has been engaged in the business of the production of motion pictures.
- II. Upon information and belief, that the defendant Robbins Music Corp., is a corporation organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of New York and is and has been engaged in the business of publishing and selling music and musical compositions.
- III. Upon information and belief, that heretofore and in or about the years 1931 and 1932 the defendant Jack Mahoney was an individual doing business under the assumed business name of Mahoney and Associates at number 2 East 23rd Street, in the City of New York and was engaged in the business of contracting for the purchase and sale of music and musical compositions.
- IV. That prior to the year 1934, the plaintiff, Edward Roman, wrote and originated the music and lyrics of a musical composition or song to which was given the title "Blue Moon".

That heretofore and in or about December, 1931, plain-V. tiff entered into negotiations with said Jack Mahoney for the purchase by said Jack Mahoney of said musical composition "Blue Moon"; that the score, lyrics and music of said composition was submitted to said Jack Mahoney who thereupon sent plaintiff a contract in writing to be signed by the plaintiff, but which contract was never executed or delivered to said Jack Mahoney and, upon information and belief, that heretofore and in or before the year 1934 the defendant, Jack Mahoney, with full knowledge of the rights of the plaintiff in and to said musical composition or song "Blue Moon", and without consent of said plaintiff and in violation of his rights thereto, did sell and transfer said musical composition or song "Blue Moon" to the defendants, Richard Rodgers and Lorenz Hart, which defendants, with full knowledge of the rights of the plaintiff in and to said musical composition or song and without consent of said plaintiff and in violation of his rights thereto, did cause said musical composition or song "Blue Moon" to be published under their authorship.

VI. Upon information and belief, that heretofore, and in the year 1934, with full knowledge of the rights of the plaintiff in and to said musical composition or song "Blue Moon", the defendant, Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer Corp., did, in the year 1934, publish and secure copyright under the name or style of Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer Corp., on said musical composition, "Blue Moon".

VII. Upon information and belief, that heretofore and in the year 1934, the defendant, Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer Corp., with full knowledge of the rights of the plaintiff in and to said musical composition or song "Blue Moon", did assign said copyright on said musical composition or song "Blue Moon" to defendant, Robbins Music Corp., which defendant, with full knowledge

of the rights of the plaintiff in and to said musical composition or song "Blue Moon", did place or caused to be placed upon the market for sale said musical composition entitled "Blue Moon".

"Blue Moon" so published, copyrighted, transferred, authored and wax sold by said defendants is so similar to the words and music of the said musical composition or song "Blue Moon" as written, authored and composed by said plaintiff as to constitute them one and the same musical composition or song, and that the said musical composition or song "Blue Moon" as published, copyrighted, transferred, authored and sold by said defendants is a violation of the rifhts acquired by the plaintiff in the said musical composition or song entitled "Blue Moon" as written, authored and composed by him.

- or song entitled "Blue Moon" containing music and lyrics of the plaintiff's said musical composition or song of the same title and the use by the said defendants herein named of the same was and is without the consent, permission or authority of the plaintiff, and that the wrongful acts of the said defendants have causing caused and are/xxxxxixx great injury, loss and damage to the plaintiff.
- X. That the said defendants are publishing the said musical composition or song "Blue Moon" containing the music and words of the musical composition or song of the same title as written, authored and composed by said plaintiff without the consent of or the payment of any compensation or the royalty provided by section 1 of the Copyright Act of the United States, or any other consideration whatever to the plaintiff, and that each and all of said defendants are and have been manufacturing, using and publishing the musical composition or song by virtue of

alleged license granted or acquired by them severally by reason of the copyright alleged to have been secured by the defendant, Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer Corp., to the musical composition or song entitled "Blue Moon".

- That each and all of said defendants have received XI. large amounts as royalties for the publication and sale of copies of said musical composition or song "Blue MOON" and also for the reproduction thereof upon mechanical instruments and otherwise and have failed to account to the plaintiff for any of the receipts and profits thus realized and received and that the defendants have threatened and are about to continue in the violation of this plaintiff's rights, as aforesaid, and that the said defendants were and will continue to be paid large sums for and on account of royalties for the said musical composition or song "Blue Moon" by reason whereof the rights acquired by said plaintiff will be materially impaired and the plaintiff will sustain irreparable damage and injury to such an extent that no damages recoverable in an action at law will afford him adequate relief and that the damages which may be suffered by the plaintiff are not capable of exact estimation or calculation.
- XII. Upon information and belief, that by reason of the foregoing, there is due to the plaintiff from the defendants as royalty for the use by them of said musical composition or song "Blue Moon" various sums of money, the exact amount of which the plaintiff does not know at the present time, which, although duly demanded by the plaintiff, the defendants have refused to pay.
 - XIII. That the plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law. WHEREFORE, plaintiff demands judgment:
- I. That each and all of the defendants be severally restrained and enjoined permanently and percetually from collecting or receiving any further sums of money as royalty,

musical composition or song "Blue Moon" or from the use thereof pursuant to license or otherwise, by reason of mechanical reproduction or from the use in any manner of said musical composition or song "Blue Moon".

- 2. That each and all defendants be severally required to account for and pay over unto the plaintiff the profits and revenue or other income had, obtained, derived or received by reason of the violation of the plaintiff's rights, as aforesaid, or the sale or publication of of the said musical composition or song "Blue Moon" or the performance thereof upon mechanical instruments or otherwise, and all damages sustained by the plaintiff by reason thereof. That the defendants be decreed to pay and account for all royalties paid or owing to them at the time they received notice of the plaintiff's rights and title to the said musical composition and for all royalties or profits earned or accrued or to become due them subsequent thereto.
- 3. That the alleged copyright and the registration thereof obtained or claimed to have been obtained by the defendant,

 Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer Corp., upon the musical composition or song entitled "Blue Moon" and assigned to the defendant, Robbins Music Corp., be assigned to the plaintiff or cancelled and declared null and void.
- 4. That the defendants pay the plaintiff the costs and disbursements of this action.
- 5. That the plaintiff have such other and further relief in the premises as may be just and equitable.

E. STEWART JONES, Attorney for Plaintiff, Office and P. O. Address, 400 Cannon Place, Troy, N. Y.

and a second of the second of	and the
STATE OF NEW TORK, ounty of Rensselaer ss:	
ounty ofRensselaer ss:	
City_ ofTroy	
EDWARD ROMANbeing duly sworn,	
eposes and says that he is the plaintiff	
he has	
read the foregoingand knows	
the contents thereof; that the same is true to the knowledge of deponent, except as to the matters therein stated to be alleged on information and belief, and as to those matters he believes it to be true.	
Edward Roman.	
Sworn to before me, this_13th)	
day of October 19.5 (Marchael	
Notary Public	
(CORPORATION VERIFICATION)	
State of New York	
unty of	
being duly sworn, deposes and says t	
he is theofread the forego	• 17 (10 (10 (10 (10 (10 (10 (10 (10 (10 (10
corporation named in the within entitled action; that he has read the forego	
to the matters therein stated to be alleged upon information and belief, and as to those matters he believes it	
true.	
Deponent further says that the reason this verification is made by deponent and not by	
is because the said	
a corporation and the grounds of deponent's belief as to all matters in the said t stated upon h own knowledge, are investigations which deponent has caused to be made concerning the sub	
t stated upon it own knowledge, are investigations are upon the course how duties as an officer of state of this	
corporation and from the books and papers of said corporat	on.
vorn to before me, this	
day of19	
y .	

Attorney for	OFFICE OF TOWNON ARR COUNTY CLERKY N. T. TROY. N.Y.	Office and Post Office Address	Attorney for		a	1	Dated, N	day of	of the County of	and duly entered in the office of the	heday of	granted in the within entitled acti	of which the within is a copy	
1	TROY. W.Y.	ress				19	, N. Y.,	19	on the	of the Clerk	19	daction, on	copy, duly	

Sir: Take notice of an___

KIRBY'S, PUBLISHERS, HERKIMER, N.Y.

Attorney for





At a trial Term of the Rensselær County Court, held in and for the County of Rensselær at the Rensselær County Court House in the City of Troy, N. Y., on the Aday of November, 1936.

PRESENT: HON. JAMES F. BREARTON, JUDGE.

SUPREME COURT

RENSSELAER COUNTY

EDWARD ROMAN.

Plaintiff,

- against -

RICHARD RODGERS, LORENZ HART, JACK MAHONEY, doing business under the assumed business name of " MAHONEY and ASSOCIATES," METRO-GOLDWYN-MAYER CORP. and ROBBINS MUSIC CORP.,

Defendants.

Satisfactory proof having been presented to the Court by the affidavit of Howard Campbell, Jr., verified the 18th day of November, 1936, that Jack Mahoney, one of the defendants in the above entitled action, is a resident of this state and has a place of business at 309 Fifth Avenue, in the Borough of Manhattan, City and State of New York, and that the plaintiff has been unable with due diligence to make personal service of the summons in this action.

NOW, on motion of E. STEWART JONES, ESQ., attorney for the plaintiff herein, it is

ORDERED, that the service of said summons upon said defendant, Jack Mahoney, be made by leaving a copy thereof and of this order at the place of business of said Jack Mahoney, defendant herein, at 309 Fifth Avenue, City and State of New York, with a person of proper age, if, upon reasonable application, admittance can be obtained and such person found who will receive it; or, if admittance cannot be so obtained, nor such person found, by affixing the same to the outer or other door of the said defendant's place of business, and by depositing in a post office another copy thereof properly enclosed in a post-paid wrapper, addressed to said defendant at his said place of business.

Rensselaer County Judge

ENTER

STATE OF NEW YORK

SUPREME

COURT, COUNTY OF RENSSELAER

EDWARD ROMAN,

Plaintiff,

prefuggi jezz caj zje

VS.

RICHARD RODGERS, LORENZ HART, JACK MAHONEY, doing business under the assumed business name of " MAHONEY and ASSOCIATES, "METRO-GOLDWYN-MAYER CORP. and ROBBINS MUSIC CORP.,

Defendants.

TO THE ABOVE NAMED DEFENDANT S :

YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED to answer the complaint in this action, and to serve a copy of your answer, or, if the complaint is not served with this summons, to serve a notice of appearance, on the plaintiff s within twenty days after the service of this Summons, exclusive of the day of service. In case of your failure to appear or answer, judgment will be taken against you by default for the relief demanded in the complaint.

Trial to be held in the County of Rensselaer Dated this 25th day of November

19 36.

E. STEWART JONES

Plaintiff 's Attorney Office and Post Office Address 400 Cannon Place,

Troy, New York.

SUPREME COURT : COUNTY OF RENSSELAER

EDWARD ROMAN

Plaintiff

-against-

RICHARD RODGERS, et al

Defendants

STATE OF NEW YORK) SS . :

HOWARD CAMPBELL JR., being duly sworn, deposes and says:

- - X

That he is over the age of eighteen years and is the manager of H. CAMPBELL COMPANY, process servers of 140 Nassau Street, New York City, N.Y.

That on the 23rd day of October, 1936, a copy of Summons and Complaint in the above entitled action was placed with him for service upon Jack Mahoney, one of the defendants in said action, whose place of business was stated to be at 309 - 5th Avenue, in the Borough of Manhattan, City and State of New York.

That your deponent was also furnished with a photograph of the defendant, Jack Mahoney to assist him in identifying the defendant. That on the said 23rd day of October, 1936, your deponent called at defendant's place of business, 309 - 5th Avenue, in the Borough of Manhattan, City of New York and found several men in the office of Jack Mahoney. He inquired of one whether or not Jack Mahoney was in and was directed to a man sitting in the office. Your deponent asked this man to whom he had been directed whether or not he was Jack Mahoney and was advised that he was not and that Jack Mahoney was not in. Said person bore a strong resemblance to the picture of Jack Mahoney in your deponent's possession, but your deponent did not serve him with Summons and Complaint as said person had not admitted his identity.

Your deponent then talked to the elevator operator and was informed that to the best of his knowledge Jack Mahoney was in and that he had not seen him leave. That between the 23rd day of October, 1936 and the 18th day of November, 1936, your deponent has called at the office of Jack Mahoney at various times of the day and at least three times weekly, but has on all occasions been advised by the girl in said office that Jack Mahoney was not in nor could she tell your deponent when he would be in.

That your deponent has at no subsequent time seen the person with whom he has talked as hereinbefore stated. That your deponent verily believes that defendant, Jack Mahoney, is hiding and evading service of the Summons and Complaint in this action and although he has used due and diligent efforts in endeavoring to effect service upon him, he verily believes that he will be mable to so.

Sworn to before me this 18th day of November 1936.

Notary Public Kings Co. Clk's No. 677, Reg. No. 876 N.Y.Co.Clk's No. 1956, Reg. No. 8S116 Commission Expires March 30, 1938 EDWARD ROMAN.

Plaintiff.

-against-

RICHARD RODGERS, LORENZ HART, JACK MAHONEY doing business under the assumed business name of "MAHONEY and ASSOCIATES," METRO-GOLDWYN-MAYER CORP. and ROBBINS MUSIC CORP.,

Defendants.

STATE OF NEW YORK)
COUNTY OF RENSSELAER) ss.
CITY OF TROY)

EDWARD ROMAN, being duly sworn, deposes and says:

That he is the plaintiff in the above-entitled action.

That Jack Mahoney, one of the defendants herein, is a resident of the City and State of New York.

That the plaintiff has been and will be unable with due diligence to make personal service of the summons upon Jack Mahoney, one of the defendants in the above-entitled action, as more fully appears from the affidavit hereto annexed.

That no previous application has been made for the relief sought herein.

WHEREFORE, the deponent prays for an order directing substituted service of the summons in the Within action upon Jack Mahoney, one of the above named defendants, pursuant to the Civil Practice Act, sections 230 and 231.

Edward Roman

Sworn to before me, this 25th day of November, 1936.

Notary Public

SUFFEME COURT OF THE COUNTY OF RENSSELAER	
	X
EDWARD ROMAN	
,	Plaintiff
-against-	
RICHARD RODGERS, et	al
r _{ess}	Defendants.
	X
STATE OF NEW YORK) SS .:
COUNTY OF NEW YORK)

HOWARD CAMPBELL JR., being duly sworn, deposes and says that he resides in the Borough of Brooklyn, City of New York and is over the age of eighteen years.

That on the 30th day of November, 1936, deponent personally served copies of the annexed Summons and Order of Substituted Service in the above entitled action on JACK MAHONEY, the defendant therein mentioned at 309 - 5th Avenue, in the Borough of Manhattan, City of New York, by delivering to and leaving with JACK MORRIS, an office associate of said defendant, and a person of proper age, personally, a true copy of said Summons and Order of Substituted Service, pursuant to Order dated the 27th day of November, 1936, and signed by xinuxxivixe James F. Brearton, XXXIX xXX xXX xXX XXX XXXX thinks Xoowaka Rensselaer County Judge. House Campbully

Sworn to before me this

30th day of November, 1936.

actey Selwart Dorothy Schwartz, Notary Public Kings Co. Clk's No. 677, Reg. No. 8764 N.Y.Co.Clk's No.1956, Reg. No. 8S1162 Commission Expires March 30, 1938

STATE OF NEW YORK,
County of ss:
of)
being duly sworn,
deposes and says that he is
in this action; that he has
read the foregoing and knows
the contents thereof; that the same is true to the knowledge of deponent, except as to the matters therein stated to be alleged on information and belief, and as to those matters he believes it to be true.
Sworn to before me, this)
day of19 \(\)
(CORPORATION VERIFICATION)
State of New York
County of
being duly sworn, deposes and says the
he is the of
the corporation named in the within entitled action; that he has read the foregoing and knows the contents thereof: and that the same is true to how knowledge, exceptions.
as to the matters therein stated to be alleged upon information and belief, and as to those matters he believes it to be true.
Deponent further says that the reason this verification is made by deponent and not by
is a corporation and the grounds of deponent's belief as to all matters in the said
not stated upon h own knowledge, are investigations which deponent has caused to be made concerning the subject
matter of this
Sworn to before me, this
day of

	Dated	day of	f the County of	nd duly entered in the office of the Clerk	heday of	ranted in the within entitled action, on	which the within is a copy, duly	Sir: Take notice of an
19	, N. Y.,	19	on the	of the Clerk	19,	d action, on	copy, duly	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

ABBOTT H. JONES

Attorney for

Office and Post Office Address 309 CANNON PLACE TROY, N.Y.

	7	
Attorney for	90	
=======================================	1	
9	! !!	
3	i	
e	!	
V	: 11	
Ha	i II	
0	! 11	
-3	i 11	
1	i 11	
!		
	1 11	
i	i 11	
1	! !!	
!	! 11	
- 1	1 1	
i	i	
!	!	
1	: 11	
i	1 11	
i	i 11	
1	!	
1		
i	: 11	
1	i	
1	1	
4	1 11	

Attorney for Plaintiff,

TROY, WY. Office and Post Office Address

Due and personal service of the within

is admitted this day of Attorney for

KIRBY'S, PUBLISHERS, HERKIMER, N.Y.





SUPREME COURT: COUNTY OF RENSSELAER

LDWARD ROMAN.

Plaintiff,

-against-

RIGHARD RODGERS, LORENZ HART, JACK MAHOMEY, doing business under the assumed business mass of "MAHOMEY AND ASSOCIATES", METRO-GOLDWYN-MAYER CORP., and ROPPINS MUSIC CORP.,

Defendants.

and between the attorneys for the respective parties hereto that the above-entitled action be, and the same hereby is, settled and discontinued without costs to any party as against any other party herein, and that an Order to such effect may be entered upon this stipulation by any party to this action, ex-parte.

Dated: Jamary /5°, 1937.

Autorney for Flagntiff

Attorney for Defendant, Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer Corp.

Atterney for Defendant, Robbins Busic Corporation

Attorneys 197 Defendants, Mohard Rodgers and Lorens Hart

Acoh M Newstern Actioney for Defendant, Jack Mahoney

Attorney for	TROY, N. Y.	Office and Post Office Address	Attorney for Plaintiff	E. STEWART JONES	, 19	Dated , N. Y.,	day of 19	ıty of	entered in the office of the Clerk of	day of and	duly granted	Sir: Take notice of an
for		Office and Post Office Address	Plaintiff	STEWART	, 19	, N. Y.,	of	on the	in the office of the Clerk of	19	duly	

STATE OF NEW YORK SUPREME COURT

County of RENSSELAER

N v MOD DCV M

EDWARD ROMAN,

Plaintiff,

-againstRICHARD RODGERS, LORENZ
HART, JACK MAHONEY, etc.,
METRO-GOLDWYN-MAYER CORP.
and ROBBINS MUSIC CORP.,

Defendants.

STIPULATION OF DISCONTINUANDE

E. STEWART JONES

Attorney for Plaintiff

O Office and Post Office Address

A 460 CANNON PLACE

TROY, N. Y.

Due and personal service of the within

is

admitted this 10 day of 5

Attorney for

NATIONAL LEGAL SUPPLY, ALBANY, N. Y.

From: Merola, Frank [mailto:FMerola@rensco.com]

Sent: Thursday, August 14, 2014 11:24 AM

To: 'Liz Gallese '

Subject: RE: Roman law suit-1936

Everything that we have on the suit is included in the attachment.

Frank J. Merola

Rensselaer County Clerk

105 Third Street

Troy, New York 12180

Phone: (518) 270-4080

Fax: (518) 271-7998

fmerola@rensco.com

From: Liz Gallese [mailto:liz@

Sent: Wednesday, August 13, 2014 4:26 PM

To: Merola, Frank

Subject: Roman law suit-1936

Hello Mr. Merola,

Thank you for so promptly locating the law suit from 1936 that I asked about, the plaintiff being Edward W. Roman.

I would appreciate your scanning the documents, as you proposed, and emailing them to me.

Sincerely,

Liz Roman Gallese

781-

This Email has been scanned for all viruses by PAETEC's Hosted E-mail Security Services, utilizing MessageLabs proprietary SkyScan infrastructure. For more information on a proactive anti-virus service working around the clock, around the globe, visit http://www.paetec.com.